

1 Bradley A. Benbrook (SBN 177786)  
2 Benbrook Law Group  
3 400 Capitol Mall, Ste 2530  
4 Sacramento, CA 95814  
5 Tel: (916) 447-4900  
6 Fax: (916) 447-4904  
7 Email: brad@benbrooklawgroup.com  
8 *Counsel for Intervening States*

9  
10 PATRICK MORRISEY  
11 *West Virginia Attorney General*  
12 Lindsay S. See\*  
13 *Solicitor General*  
14 Benjamin E. Fischer\*  
15 Thomas T. Lampman\*  
16 *Assistant Solicitors General*  
17 West Virginia Office of the Attorney General  
18 1900 Kanawha Blvd. East  
19 Building 1, Room E-26  
20 Tel: (304) 558-2021  
21 Fax: (304) 558-0140  
22 Email: lindsay.s.see@wvago.gov  
23 *Counsel for Intervenor State of West Virginia*

24 CHRISTOPHER M. CARR  
25 *Attorney General of Georgia*  
26 Andrew A. Pinson  
27 *Solicitor General*  
28 Ross W. Bergethon  
29 *Deputy Solicitor General*  
30 Drew F. Waldbeser  
31 *Assistant Solicitor General*  
32 Office of the Georgia Attorney General  
33 40 Capitol Square, S.W.  
34 Atlanta, Georgia 30334  
35 Tel: (404) 651-9453  
36 Fax: (404) 656-2199  
37 Email: apinson@law.ga.gov  
38 *Counsel for Intervenor State of Georgia*

39 (Add'l Counsel Listed on Signature Page)

40  
41 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
42 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

43 State of California, *et al.*,  
44 *Plaintiffs,*  
45 v.  
46  
47 Andrew Wheeler, *et al.*,  
48 *Defendants.*

49 Case No. 3:20-cv-3005-RS

50  
51 **[PROPOSED] STATE**  
52 **INTERVENORS' ANSWER**

53 Hr'g Date: June 18, 2020  
54 Hr'g Time: 1:30pm  
55 Dep't: San Francisco Courthouse,  
56 Courtroom 3, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
57 Judge: Honorable Richard Seeborg  
58 Action Filed: May 1, 2020

1                   Intervenor-Defendants, the States of Georgia, West Virginia, Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas,  
 2 Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North  
 3 Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming  
 4 (the “State Intervenors”), through undersigned counsel, answer the complaint in this action as  
 5 follows:

6                   1. Paragraph 1 characterizes Plaintiffs’ lawsuit and does not require a response. To the  
 7 extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that the United States Environmental  
 8 Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (collectively, the  
 9 “agencies”) published a rule entitled “The Navigable Waters Protection Rule: Definition of  
 10 ‘Waters of the United States’” (“2020 Rule”) on April 21, 2020. *See* 85 Fed. Reg. 22,250 (Apr.  
 11 21, 2020). The State Intervenors deny that the Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief they seek.

12                   2. Paragraph 2 consists of opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is  
 13 required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that the 2020 Rule  
 14 defines the term “waters of the United States” for purposes of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33  
 15 U.S.C. § 1251 *et seq.*

16                   3. Paragraph 3 consists of characterizations, opinions, and legal conclusions to which  
 17 no response is required. Paragraph 3 also characterizes and selectively quotes from statutory  
 18 authority, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to  
 19 which no response is required.

20                   4. Paragraph 4 consists of opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is  
 21 required. Paragraph 4 also characterizes and cites the Federal Register, which is publicly  
 22 available, speaks for itself, and is the best evidence of its contents.

23                   5. The State Intervenors admit the following allegations in Paragraph 5: The agencies  
 24 promulgated a rule, “Definition of ‘Waters of the U.S.’”—Recodification of Pre-Existing Rules,”  
 25 84 Fed Reg. 56,626, on October 22, 2019 (“Repeal Rule”). The Repeal Rule repeals an earlier  
 26 regulation, “Clean Water Rule: Definition of ‘Waters of the U.S.,’” 80 Fed. Reg. 37,054 (June  
 27 29, 2015) (“2015 Rule”), and restores the legal status quo that existed prior to the 2015 Rule. The  
 28

1 remainder of Paragraph 5 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 2 response is required.

3       6. Paragraph 6 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 4 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that the  
 5 2020 Rule replaces the 2019 Rule with a revised definition of “waters of the United States” for  
 6 purposes of the CWA. They further admit that coverage of the 2020 Rule is different from that of  
 7 the 2015 Rule as well as the pre-2015 regulatory regime, and further admit that some features  
 8 covered by those regimes would not be jurisdictional under the 2020 Rule. The State Intervenors  
 9 deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 6.

10      7. Paragraph 7 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 11 no response is required. Paragraph 7 also characterizes and cites to a legal opinion, which is  
 12 publicly available, speaks for itself, and is the best evidence of its contents.

13      8. Paragraph 8 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 14 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 15 allegations in Paragraph 8.

16      9. Paragraph 9 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 17 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 18 allegations in Paragraph 9.

19      10. Paragraph 10 consists of opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is  
 20 required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny that the 2020 Rule  
 21 exposes the Plaintiffs to pollution, places Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage, or injures the  
 22 Plaintiffs’ sovereign or proprietary interests. The State Intervenors lack sufficient information to  
 23 respond to the allegation regarding the ability of the Plaintiffs to manage their regulatory  
 24 programs, and deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 10.

25      11. Paragraph 11 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 26 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 27 allegations in Paragraph 11.

1       12. Paragraph 12 consists of a characterization of the Plaintiffs' lawsuit and the relief  
2 sought therein. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny Plaintiffs are  
3 entitled to the relief sought in Paragraph 12.

4       13. Admitted.

5       14. Admitted.

6       15. Admitted.

7       16. The State Intervenors admit that the State Plaintiffs are sovereign states of the United  
8 States of America. They further admit that the North Carolina Department of Environmental  
9 Quality is an executive agency of the State of North Carolina, that the District of Columbia is a  
10 municipal corporation and is the local government for the territory constituting the permanent  
11 seat of the government of the United States, and that the City of New York is a municipal  
12 corporation and political subdivision of the State of New York. The State Intervenors lack  
13 sufficient information or knowledge to admit or deny the truth of the remaining allegations in  
14 Paragraph 16.

15       17. Admitted.

16       18. Admitted.

17       19. Admitted.

18       20. Admitted.

19       21. Paragraph 21 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

20       22. Paragraph 22 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Paragraph  
21 22 also quotes from 5 U.S.C. § 551(5), which speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its  
22 contents, and to which no response is required.

23       23. Paragraph 23 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Paragraph  
24 23 also selectively quotes from statutory authority, which is publicly available, speaks for itself,  
25 and is the best evidence of its contents.

26       24. Paragraph 24 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

1       25. Paragraph 25 consists of legal conclusions to which no response is required.

2 Paragraph 25 also quotes 5 U.S.C. § 553(c), which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and to  
3 which no response is required.

4       26. Paragraph 26 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

5       27. Paragraph 27 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

6       28. Paragraph 28 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

7       29. Paragraph 29 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Paragraph  
8 29 also quotes from 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A), which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and to  
9 which no response is required.

10       30. Paragraph 30 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. In addition,  
11 Paragraph 30 quotes from 33 U.S.C. § 1251(a), which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and  
12 to which no response is required.

13       31. Paragraph 31 consists of opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is  
14 required. Paragraph 31 also selectively quotes from statutory authority (33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a),  
15 1342, 1344, 1362(7), 1362(12)), which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and to which no  
16 response is required.

17       32. Paragraph 32 consists of characterizations, opinions, and legal conclusions to which  
18 no response is required. Paragraph 32 also characterizes and selectively quotes from legal  
19 opinions that speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
20 response is required.

21       33. Paragraph 33 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
22 response is required. Paragraph 33 also characterizes and selectively quotes from statutory  
23 authority and legislative history, which are publicly available, speak for themselves, are the best  
24 evidence of their contents, and to which no response is required.

25       34. Paragraph 34 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
26 response is required. Paragraph 34 also characterizes and selectively quotes from statutory  
27 authority, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to  
28 which no response is required.

1       35. Paragraph 35 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
2 response is required. Paragraph 35 also characterizes and selectively quotes from statutory  
3 authority, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to  
4 which no response is required.

5       36. Paragraph 36 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
6 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 36 cites statutory authority, which is publicly  
7 available, speaks for itself, and is the best evidence of its contents.

8       37. Paragraph 37 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
9 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 37 cites statutory authority, which is publicly  
10 available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is  
11 required.

12       38. Paragraph 38 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
13 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 38 cites statutory authority, which is publicly  
14 available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is  
15 required.

16       39. Paragraph 39 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
17 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 39 cites statutory authority, which is publicly  
18 available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is  
19 required.

20       40. Paragraph 40 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
21 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 40 cites and selectively quotes from statutory  
22 authority, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to  
23 which no response is required.

24       41. Paragraph 41 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
25 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 41 characterizes and selectively quotes from the  
26 Federal Register, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its  
27 contents, and to which no response is required.

28

1       42. The State Intervenors admit that the agencies issued a joint legal memorandum on  
 2 January 15, 2003. Appendix A, “Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on the Clean Water  
 3 Act Regulatory Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” 68 Fed. Reg. 1991, 1996 (Jan. 15,  
 4 2003). The State Intervenors also admit that the EPA issued a guidance memorandum entitled  
 5 “Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court’s Decision in *Rapanos v.*  
 6 *United States & Carabell v. United States*” in December 2008. The remainder of Paragraph 42  
 7 consists of characterizations and opinions to which no response is required.

8       43. Paragraph 43 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 9 response is required. Paragraph 43 also characterizes certain administrative materials that are  
 10 publicly available, speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 11 response is required.

12       44. Paragraph 44 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 13 response is required. Paragraph 44 also characterizes certain administrative materials that are  
 14 publicly available, speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 15 response is required.

16       45. The State Intervenors admit that the Agencies promulgated a rule in 2015 defining  
 17 “waters of the United States” for purposes of the CWA, which became effective on August 28,  
 18 2015. The remainder of Paragraph 45 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to  
 19 which no response is required.

20       46. Paragraph 46 consists of characterizations, and legal conclusions to which no  
 21 response is required. Paragraph 46 also characterizes and quotes from the Federal Register,  
 22 which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no  
 23 response is required.

24       47. Paragraph 47 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 25 response is required. Paragraph 47 also characterizes and selectively quotes from public  
 26 documents that speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 27 response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 28 allegations in Paragraph 47.

1       48. The State Intervenors admit the President issued Executive Order 13778 entitled  
 2 “Restoring the Rule of Law, Federalism, and Economic Growth by Reviewing the ‘Waters of the  
 3 United States’ Rule,” which is codified at 82 Fed. Reg. 12,497, in 2017. The remainder of  
 4 Paragraph 48 characterizes and selectively quotes from the Federal Register, which is publicly  
 5 available, speaks for itself, and to which no response is required.

6       49. The State Intervenors admit the agencies promulgated the Repeal Rule on October  
 7 22, 2019, which repealed the earlier 2015 Rule. The remainder of Paragraph 49 characterizes and  
 8 selectively quotes from the Federal Register, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and to  
 9 which no response is required.

10      50. Paragraph 50 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 11 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that  
 12 coverage of the 2020 Rule is different from that of the 2015 Rule as well as the pre-2015  
 13 regulatory regime, and further admit that some features covered by those regimes would not be  
 14 jurisdictional under the 2020 Rule. The State Intervenors deny the remaining allegations in  
 15 Paragraph 50.

16      51. Paragraph 51 characterizes and selectively quotes from the Federal Register, which is  
 17 publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response  
 18 is required.

19      52. Paragraph 52 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 20 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 52 characterizes and selectively quotes from the  
 21 Federal Register, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its  
 22 contents, and to which no response is required.

23      53. Paragraph 53 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
 24 response is required. In addition, Paragraph 53 characterizes and selectively quotes from the  
 25 Federal Register, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its  
 26 contents, and to which no response is required.

27      54. The State Intervenors admit that the 2020 Rule contains certain exclusions from the  
 28 definition of “waters of the United States.” The remainder of Paragraph 54 consists of

1 characterizations and legal conclusions to which no response is required. In addition, Paragraph  
 2 54 characterizes and selectively quotes from the Federal Register, which is publicly available,  
 3 speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is required.

4 55. The State Intervenors admit that the 2020 Rule excludes interstate waters as a  
 5 separate category of “waters of the United States.” The remainder of Paragraph 55 consists of  
 6 opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is required.

7 56. Paragraph 56 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 8 no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 56 characterizes and cites from administrative  
 9 materials that are publicly available, speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their  
 10 contents, and to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State  
 11 Intervenors admit that coverage of the 2020 Rule is different from that of the 2015 Rule as well  
 12 as the pre-2015 regulatory regime, and further admit that some features covered by those regimes  
 13 would not be jurisdictional under the 2020 Rule.

14 57. Paragraph 57 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 15 no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 57 characterizes and cites from publicly available  
 16 sources, including administrative materials, which speak for themselves, are the best evidence of  
 17 their contents, and to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the  
 18 State Intervenors admit that coverage of the 2020 Rule is different from that of the 2015 Rule as  
 19 well as the pre-2015 regulatory regime, and further admit that some features covered by those  
 20 regimes would not be jurisdictional under the 2020 Rule. The State Intervenors deny the  
 21 remaining allegations in Paragraph 57.

22 58. Paragraph 58 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 23 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 24 allegations in Paragraph 58.

25 59. Paragraph 59 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 26 no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 59 characterizes and selectively quotes from legal  
 27 opinions and the Federal Register, which are publicly available, speak for themselves, are the  
 28 best evidence of their contents, and to which no response is required.

1       60. Paragraph 60 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
2 no response is required. Paragraph 60 also characterizes and selectively quotes from the Federal  
3 Register, which speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is  
4 required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the allegations in  
5 Paragraph 60.

6       61. Paragraph 61 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
7 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
8 allegations in Paragraph 61.

9       62. Paragraph 62 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
10 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
11 allegations in Paragraph 62.

12       63. Paragraph 63 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
13 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
14 allegations in Paragraph 63.

15       64. Paragraph 64 characterizes and selectively quotes from public documents that speak  
16 for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no response is required.

17       65. Paragraph 65 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
18 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
19 allegations in Paragraph 65.

20       66. The State Intervenors admit that the agencies engaged with the EPA's Science  
21 Advisory Board ("SAB") during the development of the 2020 Rule, including meeting with the  
22 SAB prior to and following publication of the proposed 2020 Rule. They further admit that SAB  
23 issued a draft commentary on the proposed rule on December 31, 2019, and held a public  
24 meeting on the proposed rule on January 17, 2020. The State Intervenors further admit that the  
25 agencies signed the final 2020 Rule on January 23, 2020. The remaining allegations in Paragraph  
26 66 consist of opinions to which no response is required.

27       67. Paragraph 67 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
28 response is required. Paragraph 67 also characterizes and selectively quotes from certain public

1 materials which speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 2 response is required.

3       68. Paragraph 68 consists of opinions and characterizations to which no response is  
 4 required. Paragraph 68 further selectively quotes from the Federal Register, which is publicly  
 5 available, speaks for itself, is the best evidence of its contents, and to which no response is  
 6 required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the allegations in  
 7 Paragraph 68.

8       69. Paragraph 69 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 9 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 10 allegations in Paragraph 69.

11       70. Paragraph 70 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 12 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 13 allegations in Paragraph 70.

14       71. Paragraph 71 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions requiring  
 15 no response. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that coverage of the  
 16 2020 Rule is different from that of the 2015 Rule as well as the pre-2015 regulatory regime, and  
 17 further admit that some features covered by those regimes would not be jurisdictional under the  
 18 2020 Rule. The State Intervenors deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 71.

19       72. Paragraph 72 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 20 no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 72 cites and selectively quotes from the Federal  
 21 Register, which is publicly available, speaks for itself, and is the best evidence of its contents. To  
 22 the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the allegations in Paragraph 72.

23       73. Paragraph 73 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 24 no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 25 allegations in Paragraph 73.

26       74. Paragraph 74 consists of opinions and characterizations to which no response is  
 27 required. Paragraph 74 also characterizes the Federal Register, which is publicly available,

1 speaks for itself, and is the best evidence of its contents. To the extent a response is required, the  
 2 State Intervenors deny that the allegations in Paragraph 74.

3       75. Denied.

4       76. The State Intervenors admit that the Plaintiffs' jurisdictions cover vast areas of the  
 5 country, and that Plaintiffs are geographically situated along some of the Nation's waters. The  
 6 State Intervenors further admit that the Plaintiffs have authority to address water pollution  
 7 generated by sources within their borders, and that they do not have authority to directly regulate  
 8 water pollution generated by sources outside of their borders. The State Intervenors lack  
 9 sufficient knowledge to respond to the remainder of the allegations in Paragraph 76.

10       77. The State Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge to respond to the allegation that the  
 11 Plaintiffs rely on uniform nationwide pollution controls. The State Intervenors deny that the  
 12 Plaintiffs will suffer the harm under the 2020 Rule, and deny the remaining allegations in  
 13 Paragraph 77.

14       78. Paragraph 78 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 15 no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
 16 allegations in Paragraph 78.

17       79. Paragraph 79 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions to which  
 18 no response is required. In addition, Paragraph 79 characterizes and cites certain public  
 19 documents that speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 20 response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors admit that  
 21 coverage of the 2020 Rule is different from that of the 2015 Rule as well as the pre-2015  
 22 regulatory regime, and further admit that some features covered by those regimes would not be  
 23 jurisdictional under the 2020 Rule. Further, to the extent a response is required, the State  
 24 Intervenors deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 79.

25       80. Paragraph 80 characterizes and selectively quotes from certain publicly available  
 26 materials which speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to which no  
 27 response is required. The State Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge to respond to the remaining  
 28 allegations in Paragraph 80.

1       81. Paragraph 81 consists of opinions and legal conclusions to which no response is  
2 required. The remainder of Paragraph 81 characterizes and selectively quotes from  
3 administrative materials, which are publicly available, speak for themselves, are the best  
4 evidence of their contents, and to which no response is required.

5       82. Paragraph 82 consists of characterizations and legal conclusions to which no  
6 response is required.

7       83. Paragraph 83 consists of opinions, characterizations, and legal conclusions requiring  
8 no response. Paragraph 83 further characterizes and selectively quotes from certain publicly  
9 available documents which speak for themselves, are the best evidence of their contents, and to  
10 which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny  
11 that the 2020 Rule risks more damage from oil spills.

12       84. The State Intervenors lack sufficient knowledge to respond to the allegations in  
13 Paragraph 84 pertaining to the effect of the 2020 Rule on the Plaintiffs' regulatory programs, the  
14 internal governance or appropriation decisions of the Plaintiffs, or any reliance by the Plaintiffs  
15 on federal regulations. The State Intervenors further deny that the agencies failed to consider the  
16 Plaintiffs' purported reliance interests in the 2020 Rule, and deny that 2020 Rule abandons the  
17 agencies' long-standing position and policy.

18       85. Paragraph 85 consists of opinions, speculation, and legal conclusions to which no  
19 response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny the  
20 allegations in Paragraph 85.

21       86. The allegations in Paragraph 86 consists of opinions and speculation to which no  
22 response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State Intervenors deny that the  
23 2020 Rule will impair water recreation industries, deny that the 2020 Rule will make water less  
24 desirable for fishing, boating, or swimming, and further deny the remaining allegations in  
25 Paragraph 86.

26       87. The State Intervenors admit that Plaintiffs own, operate, finance, or manage property  
27 within their borders. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 87 consist of opinions and legal  
28 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the State

Intervenors deny that the 2020 Rule impairs Plaintiffs' proprietary interests, deny that the 2020 Rule will destroy habitat or reduce wildlife populations, and deny that the 2020 Rule will allow increased pollution or loss of wetland functions. The State Intervenors lack sufficient information to respond to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 87.

88. The State Intervenors deny Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested and deny Plaintiffs will suffer any injury caused by the 2020 Rule.

The allegations following Paragraph 88 are legal statements of causes of action and a prayer for relief to which no response is required.

## GENERAL DENIAL

If any factual allegation in the Complaint has not been admitted or specifically responded to, the State Intervenors deny such allegation.

## DEFENSES

1. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim for which relief can be granted with respect to one or more of the claims set forth in the Complaint.

2. The State Intervenors reserve the right to raise any defense, including but not limited to those found in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(c) and 12, that may be supported by the record in this case.

1 Respectfully submitted.

2 */s/ Bradley A. Benbrook*  
3 Bradley A. Benbrook (SBN 177786)  
4 Benbrook Law Group  
5 400 Capitol Mall, Ste 2530  
6 Sacramento, CA 95814  
7 Tel: (916) 447-4900  
8 Fax: (916) 447-4904  
9 Email: brad@benbrooklawgroup.com  
10 *Counsel for State Intervenors*

11 PATRICK MORRISEY  
12 *West Virginia Attorney General*  
13 */s/ Lindsay S. See*  
14 Lindsay S. See\*  
15 *Solicitor General*  
16 Benjamin E. Fischer\*  
17 Thomas T. Lampman\*  
18 *Assistant Solicitors General*

19 West Virginia Office of the Attorney General  
20 1900 Kanawha Blvd. East  
21 Building 1, Room E-26  
22 Tel: (304) 558-2021  
23 Fax: (304) 558-0140  
24 Email: lindsay.s.see@wvago.gov  
25 *Counsel for Intervenor State of West Virginia*

26 KEVIN G. CLARKSON  
27 *Attorney General of Alaska*  
28 */s/ Jennifer Currie*  
1 Jennifer Currie  
2 *Senior Assistant Attorney General*  
3 Alaska Department of Law  
4 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200  
5 Anchorage, AK 99501-1994  
6 Tel: (907) 269-5100  
7 Fax: (907) 276-3697  
8 Email: Jennifer.currie@alaska.gov  
9 *Counsel for Intervenor State of Alaska*

10 CHRISTOPHER M. CARR  
11 *Attorney General of Georgia*  
12 */s/ Andrew A. Pinson*  
13 Andrew A. Pinson  
14 *Solicitor General*  
15 Ross W. Bergethon\*  
16 *Deputy Solicitor General*  
17 Drew F. Waldbeser\*  
18 *Assistant Solicitor General*  
19 Office of the Attorney General  
20 40 Capitol Square, S.W.  
21 Atlanta, Georgia 30334  
22 Tel: (404) 651-9453  
23 Fax: (404) 656-2199  
24 Email: apinson@law.ga.gov  
25 *Counsel for Intervenor State of Georgia*

26 STEVE MARSHALL  
27 *Attorney General of Alabama*  
28 */s/ A. Barrett Bowdre*  
1 A. Barrett Bowdre  
2 *Deputy Solicitor General*  
3 Office of the Attorney General  
4 501 Washington Ave.  
5 P.O. Box 300152  
6 Montgomery, AL 36130  
7 Telephone: (334) 353-8892  
8 Fax: (334) 353-8400  
9 E-mail: barrett.bowdre@AlabamaAG.gov  
10 *Counsel for Intervenor State of Alabama*

11 LESLIE RUTLEDGE  
12 *Attorney General of Arkansas*  
13 */s/ Dylan L. Jacobs*  
14 Dylan L. Jacobs\*  
15 *Assistant Solicitor General*  
16 Office of the Attorney General  
17 323 Center St., Suite 200  
18 Little Rock, AR 72201  
19 Tel: (501) 682-3661  
20 Fax: (501) 682-2591  
21 Email: Dylan.Jacobs@ArkansasAG.gov  
22 *Counsel for Intervenor State of Arkansas*

1       LAWRENCE WASDEN  
2        *Attorney General of Idaho*

3        /s/ Mark Cecchini-Beaver (with permission)  
4        Mark Cecchini-Beaver  
5        *Deputy Attorney General*  
6        Office of the Attorney General  
7        Environmental Quality Section  
8        1410 N. Hilton, 2nd Floor  
9        Boise, ID 83706  
10      Tel: (208) 373-0494  
11      Fax: (208) 373-0481  
12      Email: Mark.Cecchini-Beaver@deq.idaho.gov  
13      *Counsel for Intervenor State of Idaho*

14      DEREK SCHMIDT  
15      *Attorney General of Kansas*

16       /s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay  
17       Jeffrey A. Chanay\*  
18       *Chief Deputy Attorney General*  
19       Office of the Attorney General  
20       120 SW 10th Ave., 3rd Floor  
21       Topeka, Kansas 66612  
22       Tel: (785) 368-8435  
23       Email: jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov  
24       *Counsel for Intervenor State of Kansas*

25      JEFF LANDRY  
26      *Attorney General of Louisiana*

27       /s/ Elizabeth B. Murrill  
28       Elizabeth B. Murrill\*  
29       *Solicitor General*  
30       Joseph Scott St. John\*  
31       *Deputy Attorney General*  
32       Louisiana Department of Justice  
33       1885 N. 3rd St.  
34       Baton Rouge, LA 70802  
35       Tel: (225) 456-7544  
36       Email: MurrillE@ag.louisiana.gov  
37       *Counsel for Intervenor State of Louisiana*

38       /s/ Thomas M. Fisher

39       Thomas M. Fisher  
40       *Solicitor General of Indiana*  
41       Office of the Indiana Attorney General  
42       302 W. Washington Street, IGCS, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
43       Indianapolis, Indiana  
44       Tel: (317) 233-8292  
45       Fax: (317) 233-8292  
46       Email: tom.fisher@atg.in.gov  
47       *Counsel for Intervenor State of Indiana*

48      DANIEL CAMERON  
49      *Attorney General of Kentucky*

50       /s/ Carmine Iaccarino  
51       Carmine Iaccarino\*  
52       *Executive Director, Office of Civil &*  
53       *Environmental Law*  
54       Office of the Attorney General  
55       700 Capitol Avenue  
56       Frankfort, Kentucky 40601  
57       Tel: (502) 696-5650  
58       Email: Carmine.Iaccarino@ky.gov  
59       *Counsel for Intervenor Commonwealth of*  
60       *Kentucky*

61      LYNN FITCH  
62      *Attorney General of Mississippi*

63       /s/ Kristi H. Johnson  
64       Kristi H. Johnson  
65       *Solicitor General*  
66       Office of the Attorney General  
67       P.O. Box 220  
68       Jackson, Mississippi 39205  
69       Tel: (601) 359-5563  
70       Email: Kristi.Johnson@ago.ms.gov  
71       *Counsel for Intervenor State of Mississippi*

72      TIMOTHY C. FOX  
73      *Attorney General of Montana*

74       /s/ Melissa Schlichting  
75       Melissa Schlichting  
76       *Deputy Attorney General*  
77       Office of the Attorney General  
78       215 North Sanders / P.O. Box 201401  
79       Helena, MT 59620-1401  
80       Tel: (406) 444-3602  
81       Email: MSchlichting@mt.gov  
82       *Counsel for Intervenor State of Montana*

1 ERIC S. SCHMITT  
2 *Attorney General of Missouri*

3 /s/ Julie Marie Blake  
4 Julie Marie Blake\*  
5 *Deputy Solicitor General*  
6 Office of the Attorney General  
7 P.O. Box 899  
Jefferson City, MO 65102  
Tel: (573) 751-3321  
Fax: (573) 751-0774  
Email: Julie.Blake@ago.mo.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of Missouri*

8 DOUGLAS J. PETERSON  
9 *Attorney General*

10 /s/ James A. Campbell  
11 James A. Campbell\*  
12 *Solicitor General*  
13 Justin D. Lavene\*  
14 Assistant Attorney General  
15 Office of the Nebraska Attorney General  
16 2115 State Capitol  
17 Lincoln, NE 68509  
Email: justin.lavene@nebraska.gov  
Email: jim.campbell@nebraska.gov  
Tel: (402) 471-2682  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of Nebraska*

18 DAVE YOST  
19 *Attorney General of Ohio*

20 /s/ Benjamin M. Flowers  
21 Benjamin M. Flowers  
22 *Solicitor General*  
23 Office of Ohio Attorney General  
24 30 E. Broad St., 17th Floor  
25 Columbus, OH 43215  
Tel: (614) 728-7511  
Email: bflowers@ohioattorneygeneral.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of Ohio*

26 ALAN WILSON  
27 *Attorney General*

28 /s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.  
James Emory Smith, Jr.\*  
Deputy Solicitor General  
Office of the Attorney General  
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
Tel: (803) 734-3680  
Email: esmith@scag.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of South Carolina*

WAYNE STENEHJEM  
*Attorney General of North Dakota*

/s/ Margaret I. Olson  
Margaret I. Olson\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
North Dakota Office of Attorney General  
500 N. 9th Street  
Bismarck, ND 58501  
Tel: (701) 328-3640  
Fax: (701) 328-4300  
Email: maiolson@nd.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of North Dakota*

MIKE HUNTER  
*Attorney General of Oklahoma*

/s/ Mithun Mansinghani  
Mithun Mansinghani  
*Solicitor General*  
Oklahoma Office of the Attorney General  
313 NE 21st Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105  
Phone: (405) 522-4392  
Fax: (405) 521-4518  
Email: Mithun.Mansinghani@oag.ok.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of Oklahoma*

JASON R. RAVNSBORG  
*Attorney General*

/s/ Ann F. Mines Bailey  
Ann F. Mines Bailey\*  
*Assistant Attorney General*  
State of South Dakota  
1302 E. Highway 14, Suite 1  
Pierre, SD 57501-8501  
Tel: (605) 773-3215  
Fax: (605) 773-4106  
Email: ann.mines@state.sd.us  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of South Dakota*

KEN PAXTON  
*Attorney General of Texas*

/s/ Kyle D. Hawkins  
Kyle D. Hawkins  
*Solicitor General*  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, TX 78711-2548  
Tel: (512) 936-1700  
Fax: (512) 474-2697  
Email: Kyle.Hawkins@oag.texas.gov  
*Counsel for Intervenor State of Texas*

1           HERBERT H. SLATERY III  
2           *Attorney General and Reporter of Tennessee*  
3           /s/ Elizabeth P. McCarter  
4           Elizabeth P. McCarter  
5           *Senior Assistant Attorney General*  
6           Office of the Attorney General  
7           P.O. Box 20207  
8           Nashville, TN 37202  
9           Tel: (515) 532-2582  
10          Email: lisa.mccarter@ag.tn.gov  
11          *Counsel for Intervenor State of Tennessee*

12          SEAN D. REYES  
13          *Attorney General of Utah*

14           /s/ Daniel Burton  
15          Daniel Burton\*  
16          *Chief Policy Counsel*  
17          Office of the Attorney General  
18          Utah State Capitol Complex  
19          350 North State Street, Suite 230  
20          Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2320  
21          Tel: (801) 538-9600  
22          Email: danburton@agutah.gov  
23          *Counsel for Intervenor State of Utah*

24          BRIDGET HILL  
25          *Attorney General of Wyoming*  
26           /s/ James C. Kaste  
27          James C. Kaste  
28          *Deputy Attorney General*  
29          Office of the Attorney General  
30          2320 Capitol Avenue  
31          Cheyenne, WY 82002  
32          Tel: (307) 777-6946  
33          Fax: (307) 777-3542  
34          Email: james.kaste@wyo.gov  
35          *Counsel for Intervenor State of Wyoming*

36          \*Application for Admission Pro Hac Vice Pending or Forthcoming

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 1, 2020, I served this proposed answer by filing it with this Court's ECF system.

/s/ *Andrew A. Pinson*  
Andrew A. Pinson